



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,616	03/28/2001	Katherine G. August	August 34-54	1720

32498 7590 12/04/2006

CAPITOL PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW FIRM, PLLC  
ATTN: JOHN CURTIN  
P.O. BOX 1995  
VIENNA, VA 22183

EXAMINER

THEIN, MARIA TERESA T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3627

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action  
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

09/818,616

Applicant(s)

AUGUST ET AL.

Examiner

Marissa Thein

Art Unit

3627

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
(a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  
Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.  
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.  
Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.  
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet.  
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
13. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: For example, Claim 2 has not been addressed with the currently amended Claim 1 (incorporation of claims 3 and 4), therefore, the claims need further consideration and searching.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive. For example, Applicants remark that "Treyz fails to disclose or suggest an apparatus for processing customer orders that also includes a transceiver which broadcasts a wireless signal to establish a wireless communications link with mobile customers within a predetermined distance of a vendor facility to fulfill an order". The Examiner notes that Treyz does disclose or suggest an apparatus for processing customer orders that also includes a transceiver which broadcasts a wireless signal to establish a wireless communications link with mobile customers within a predetermined distance of a vendor facility to fulfill an order. Treyz discloses local wireless transmitter/receivers arranged to overlap to form a wireless local area network (col. 2, lines 27-29). The location of the user may be determined by determining which local wireless transmitter/receiver the handheld computing device is in communication with (col. 2, lines 30-32). The location of the user may also be determined by using a GPS receiver associated with the handheld computing device or by using network-based techniques when the user is in communication with an appropriate wireless network (col. 2, lines 32-37). Furthermore, Treyz discloses an example where a user having a handheld computing device that is in communication with a merchant using a local wireless transmitter/receiver may order a product by communicating with a computer of an order fulfillment facility (col. 21, line 67 - col. 22, line 4). Local wireless transmitter/receivers is used to provide local wireless communications coverage for an entire establishment such as a mall or large store (col. 22, lines 16-20).

Such local wireless transmitter/receivers arranged to overlap to form a wireless local area network; the location of the user may be determined by determining which local wireless transmitter/receiver the handheld computing device is in communication with; the location of the user may also be determined by using a GPS receiver associated with the handheld computing device or by using network-based techniques; a user having a handheld computing device that is in communication with a merchant using a local wireless transmitter/receiver may order a product by communicating with computer of order fulfillment facility; and local wireless transmitter/receivers is used to provide local wireless communications coverage for an entire establishment such as a mall or large store are considered an apparatus for processing customer orders that also includes a transceiver which broadcasts a wireless signal to establish a wireless communications link with mobile customers within a predetermined distance of a vendor facility to fulfill an order.

*Michael Cuff* 11/30/06  
MICHAEL CUFF  
PRIMARY EXAMINER